

# CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2002

DateRun: 04/09/2002

Experimenters: Jason Marshall, Purav Dave

ClientType: Cleaning Equipment Mfr

ProjectNumber: Project #2

Substrates: Stainless Steel

PartType: Coupon

Contaminants: Greases

Cleaning Methods: Ultrasonics

Analytical Methods:

Purpose: 5th contaminant cleaning

Experimental Procedure: Ten preweighed coupons were coated with ITW Devcon Safetap Stick grease, using the hand held container. Coupons were reweighed. Five coupons were clipped to wire racks and immersed into the Flow-Matic machine and cleaned for 1 minutes using ultrasonics at 92 F, removed and rinsed in a tap water spray and re-immersed into the ultrasonics for an additional 1 minute followed by a second 5 second rinse. The nine coupons were then dried using an air knife for 15 seconds. The second set of five coupons followed the same cleaning cycle except they were hung on a wire stand and immersed into a Crest 40 kHz ultrasonic tank.

Results: Comparison of the two processes revealed that the Traditional system was more effective than the Flow-Matic equipment. The following table lists the results obtained during the evaluation.

Table 1. Cleaning Efficiencies

Process	Flow-Matic	Traditional
	97.47	94.55
	97.68	86.89
	81.37	87.43
	87.26	96.59
	83.00	91.38
Average	89.36	91.37
Std Dev	7.81	4.27

Summary:	<b>Substrates:</b>		Stainless Steel		
	<b>Contaminants:</b>		Greases		
	<b>Company Name:</b>	<b>Product Name:</b>	<b>Conc.:</b>	<b>Efficiency:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>
	Water	Water	100	91.37	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Water	Water	100	89.36	<input type="checkbox"/>
					<b>Observations:</b>
					Traditional System
					Flow-Matic System

Conclusion: The traditional ultrasonic method was more effective than the Flow-Matic system.