CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #:	2002
DateRun:	04/09/2002
Experimenters:	Jason Marshall, Purav Dave
ClientType:	Cleaning Equipment Mfr
ProjectNumber:	Project #2
Substrates:	Stainless Steel
PartType:	Coupon
Contaminants:	Greases
Cleaning Methods:	Ultrasonics
Analytical Methods:	
Purpose:	5th contaminant cleaning
Experimental Procedure:	Ten preweighed coupons were coated with ITW Devcon Safetap Stick grease, using the hand held container. Coupons were reweighed. Five coupons were clipped to wire racks and immersed into the Flow-Matic machine and cleaned for 1 minutes using ultrasonics at 92 F, removed and rinsed in a tap water spray and re-immersed into the ultrasonics for an additional 1 minute followed by a second 5 second rinse. The nine coupons were then dried using an air knife for 15 seconds. The second set of five coupons followed the same cleaning cycle except they were hung on a wire stand and immersed into a Crest 40 kHz ultrasonic tank.
Results:	Comparison of the two processes revealed that the Traditional system was more effective than the Flow-Matic equipment. The following table lists the results obtained during the evaluation.

Table 1. Cleaning Efficiencies

Process	Flow-Matic	Traditional
	97.47	94.55
	97.68	86.89
	81.37	87.43
	87.26	96.59
	83.00	91.38
Average	89.36	91.37
Std Dev	7.81	4.27

Summary:

Substrates:	Stainless Steel				
Contaminants:	Greases				
Company Name:	**Product Name:**	**Conc.:**	**Efficiency:**	**Effective:**	**Observations:**
Water	Water	100	91.37	☑	Traditional System
Water	Water	100	89.36	☐	Flow-Matic System

Conclusion: The traditional ultrasonic method was more effective than the Flow-Matic system.