

CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2003
 DateRun: 10/21/2003
 Experimenters: Dave Hout
 ClientType: Lab
 ProjectNumber: Project #1
 Substrates: Stainless Steel
 PartType: Coupon
 Contaminants: Cutting/Tapping Fluids
 Cleaning Methods: Immersion/Soak
 Analytical Methods: Gravimetric

Purpose: Laboratory evaluations of alternative cleaning products

Experimental Procedure: Basic cleaning performance testing was conducted using ASTM G122 as the bases for cleaning. One product was used at full strength at room temperature and seven others were heated to 130 F on a hot plate. Twenty-four preweighed coupons were coated with Monroe Fluid Tech Cool Tool II and allowed to dry for a half an hour and reweighed. Three coupons were cleaned in each solution for 5 minutes using stir-bar-agitation, rinsed in a tap water bath for 15 seconds at 120 F and dried using air blow off for 30 seconds at 68 F. Coupons were allowed to dry for a half an hour and then reweighed a final time. Efficiencies were calculated.

Results:

Summary:

Substrates:	Stainless Steel				
Contaminants:	Cutting/Tapping Fluids				
Company Name:	Product Name:	Conc.:	Efficiency:	Effective:	Observations:
AW Chesterton	217 Pressure wash	5	82.03	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Alconox Inc	Liquinox	5	87.98	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Bio Chem Systems	Bio T Parts Washer NR	5	98.76	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Dow Chemical Company	PnB Glycol Ether	5	99.66	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Hubbard Hall Inc	Aquasonic 201	5	88.27	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Magnaflux	Daraclean 235	5	71.27	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Man Gill Chemical Company	Gillite 0650 Cl	5	98.12	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Finger Lakes Chemical	Safer Stuff	100	98.50	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Conclusion: 6 out of 8 products were effective at removing the contaminant at an efficiency rate of over 85%.