

# CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2007  
DateRun: 10/17/2007  
Experimenters: Jason Marshall  
ClientType: Chemical Company  
ProjectNumber: Project #1  
Substrates: Aluminum  
PartType: Coupon  
Contaminants: Cutting/Tapping Fluids, Lubricating/Lapping Oils  
Cleaning Methods: Immersion/Soak  
Analytical Methods: Gravimetric

Purpose: To evaluate supplied products on second requested contaminant.

Experimental Procedure: Four products were diluted to the requested levels and heated to 135 F on a hot plate. In addition, water was used as a control solution. Eighteen preweighed Aluminum 6061 T6 coupons were coated with Cool Tool II Tapping lubricant using a handheld swab. Coupons were weighed a second time to determine the amount of cutting fluid added.

Three coupons were immersed in each solution and cleaned for five minutes using minimal stir-bar agitation. Coupons were rinsed in either DI water or tap water heated to 135 F. One product was rinsed in room temperature tap water as requested. All coupons were dried for 10 minutes in an oven at 140 F. After drying, coupons were weighed a third time and product cleaning efficiencies were calculated.

## Requested Procedure:

### A. General Process

Soaking for 5 minutes at 135 degrees F and rinsing for 5 minutes at a temperature selected in procedure B below. During the soaking and rinsing the solution should be gently stirred to simulate effect of typical continuous flow filtering in both cleaning and rinse tanks. Then dry thoroughly in drying oven of type that is typically used in precision cleaning applications. The rinse water should be changed after each set of 3 tests to prevent contaminant buildup.

### B. FPC testing.

Mix FPC 100-add 7 oz of concentrate to 123 oz of filtered tap water when both are at room temperature. Then run process of A above with rinse tank at room temperature and repeat with rinse tank at 135 degrees F.

### C. Other products

Use process A above. at dilutions shown but rinsing with DI water instead of tap water for the Metalnox M6440.

## Products to Evaluate

Metalnox M6440 @ 10% solution

Extreme Simple Green Aircraft Cleaner@10% solution.

Gemtec Aircraft cleaner @ 15% solution.

Kleen Tec 715@ 1 part concentrate to 11 parts water

Results: Four products removed over 90% of the Cool Tool II lubricant. Water removed just under a third of the lubricant. The FPC that was rinsed with room temperature water removed just over 80%. The table lists the amount of soil added, the amount remaining and the calculated efficiencies.

Cleaner	Initial wt	Final wt	% Removed
Metalnox M6410	0.6889	0.0072	98.95
	0.1282	0.0029	97.74
	0.1608	0.0005	99.69
SC Aircraft	0.4318	0.0149	96.55
	0.5937	0.0179	96.99
	0.5275	0.0229	95.66
KT 715	0.3984	0.0050	98.74
	0.4373	0.0059	98.65
	0.5751	0.0038	99.34
FPC 100	0.3584	0.0334	90.68
	0.4009	0.0466	88.38
	0.2337	0.0230	90.16
Water	0.1711	0.1357	20.69
	0.2192	0.1533	30.06

## CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

	0.3322	0.1740	47.62
FPC 100 cold rinse	0.3867	0.0317	91.80
	0.5719	0.0520	90.91
	0.1623	0.0583	64.08

Summary:

<b>Substrates:</b>	Aluminum				
<b>Contaminants:</b>	Cutting/Tapping Fluids, Lubricating/Lapping Oils				
<b>Company Name:</b>	<b>Product Name:</b>	<b>Conc.:</b>	<b>Efficiency:</b>	<b>Effective:</b>	<b>Observations:</b>
Kyzen Corporation	Metalnox M6440	10	98.79	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Gemtek Products	SC Aircraft & Metal Cleaner Super Concentrate	10	96.40	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Klean Tec	KT 715	8.3	99.34	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Environmental Solution Products Inc	FPC 100	5.4	90.16	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Water	Water	100	32.79	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Environmental Solution Products Inc	FPC 100	5.4	82.26	<input type="checkbox"/>	cold rinse

Conclusion:

Products will be tested on the third supplied contaminant under the same conditions.