

CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2010
 DateRun: 05/04/2010
 Experimenters: Jason Marshall
 ClientType: Cleaner Manufacturer
 ProjectNumber: Project #1
 Substrates: Textile
 PartType: Coupon
 Contaminants: Dirt
 Cleaning Methods: Mechanical Agitation
 Analytical Methods: Gloss-Color Meter
 Purpose: To evaluate carpet resoiling characteristics of supplied cleaning product for GS 37 certification

Experimental Procedure: Carpet pieces that were previously soiled and cleaned with the supplied product and Liquid Formula 90 (industry standard product) were resoiled by placing the carpet sections into the 1-gallon can, making sure the carpet lined the inner wall of the can. Nalgene® tubing cut into 1/8" pieces were poured into the bucket and 2 grams of the AATCC soil was distributed along the width of the can. The can was lidded and placed into a harness attached to a crank shaft. The crank was turned at an average rate of 42 rpm by hand for 5 minutes in one direction, followed by 5 minutes of rotation in the opposite direction. At the end of the 10-minute soiling regime, the carpet was placed onto a carpet template and vacuumed with a Eureka SuperBroom (Brush-Up, Motor-Driven/Brush-Roll) vacuum for 5 strokes in the forward direction followed by the same number of strokes in the backward direction. The carpet pieces were evaluated again using a BYK specro-guide gloss color meter was used to measure L-values from the surface of the carpet.

Results: The two dilutions of PC 120 both dilutions had better resoiling characteristics as shown by the higher light meter readings and the lower difference in final L value and extraction L values. The PC 220 at the 1:64 dilution had similar results to the industry comparative product. All products and dilutions worked better than water alone. The table list the L-value reading for each section of carpet before resoiling and after vacuuming.

Cleaner	Part	Extraction	Ave E	Resoil	Ave R	Difference
Chemspec Liquid 90 1:640	A	72.65	72.05	54.49	55.72	16.33
	B	74.73	59.17			
	C	68.77	53.51			
MD Steston PC 120 1:64	A	75.67	72.3	57.43	59.22	13.08
	B	71.95	57.38			
	C	69.27	62.84			
MD Steston PC 120 1:128	A	72.14	69.78	58.34	56.49	13.29
	B	65.96	53.3			
	C	71.24	57.82			
MD Steston PC 220 1:64	A	73.95	73.92	59.53	56.57	17.35
	B	73.09	52.2			
	C	74.71	57.98			
MD Steston PC 220 1:128	A	74.41	70.08	47.76	51.7	18.39

CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

	B	66.37	50.63			
	C	69.47	56.7			
Water	A	70.85	70	59.79	51.17	18.83
	B	71.26	44.31			
	C	67.88	49.4			

Summary:

Substrates:	Textile				
Contaminants:	Dirt				
Company Name:	Product Name:	Conc.:	Efficiency:	Effective:	Observations:
Chemspec	Liquid Formula 90	0.16	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Next-Gen Supply Group	PC 120 Peroxide Multisurface Cleaner	1.56	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Next-Gen Supply Group	PC 120 Peroxide Multisurface Cleaner	0.78	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Next-Gen Supply Group	PC 220 Peroxide Multipurpose Cleaner	1.56	0.00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Next-Gen Supply Group	PC 220 Peroxide Multipurpose Cleaner	0.78	0.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Water	Water	100	0.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Conclusion:

The PC 120 at 1:64 and 1:28 and the PC 220 were better than or comparable to the conventional non-green product for resoiling.