

CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2019
 DateRun: 10/03/2019
 Experimenters: Nicole Kebler, Rimsha Paneru
 ClientType: Electroplating Company
 ProjectNumber: Project #2
 Substrates: Graphite
 PartType: Part
 Contaminants: Fluxes, Solder
 Cleaning Methods: Immersion/Soak
 Analytical Methods: Visual

Purpose: To evaluate cleaners effectiveness at removing flux on graphite fixtures.

Experimental Procedure: Pre-contaminated graphite fixtures were provided by the company. Each of the four cells on one fixture was rated based on how contaminated they were initially and rated again after drying. The following visual rating keys were used.

Initial Visual Rating Key

#	Description
1	No contamination
2	Minimal contamination
3	Partially contaminated
4	Mostly contaminated
5	Completely contaminated

Final Visual Rating Key

#	Description
1	Completely removed
2	Mostly removed
3	Partially removed
4	Minimal removal
5	No removal

One fixture was immersed in an unheated cleaner for 15 minutes. Visual observations of the fixture and flux removal were taken every five minutes, and the fixtures were air dried with room temperature forced air for five minutes before final observations.

Results: Visual Observations:

Cleaner	5 Mins	10 mins	15 mins	Dry
1	- no visible bubbles - clear dilution	- no change	- no change	-Flux still present, minimal removal
2	- no visible bubbles - clear dilution	- no change	- no change	-Little to no removal

CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

3	- no visible bubbles - clear dilution	- no change	- no change	-No removal
4	- Bubbles on rim	- no change	-no change	-Minimal removal
5	- Bubbles on rim	- no change	- no change	-Little to no removal
6	-No change, No bubbles	- no change	- no change	-Residue left in middle
7	- Bubbles on surface	-Bubbles appearing	-Bubbles disappear	-No removal

Average Ratings:

Cleaner	Average Before Cleaning	Average After Cleaning
1	4.5	4
2	5	4.5
3	4.5	4.5
4	5	4
5	5	4.5
6	5	4.5
7	5	5

Summary:

Substrates:		Graphite				
Contaminants:		Fluxes, Solder				
Company Name:		Product Name:	Conc.:	Efficiency:	Effective:	Observations:
Fisher Scientific		Isopropanol (CAS: 67-63-0)	99%	0.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	
JR Hess & Co., Inc.		Sta-Sol ESS 160	100%	0.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Alconox Inc		Liquinox	1%	0.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Brulin Corporation		Aquavantage 1400	5%	0.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	
International Products Corporation		Micro 90 Conc.	2%	0.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Fisher Scientific		Isopropanol (CAS: 67-63-0)	70%	0.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Fisher Scientific		Isopropanol (CAS: 67-63-0)	10%	0.00	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Conclusion:

None of the cleaners were effective with unheated immersion. Next step will be to add heat to cleaners that can be heated safely without engineering controls.