

# CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2025  
DateRun: 12/18/2025  
Experimenters: Amelia Wagner  
ClientType: Cleaner Manufacturer  
ProjectNumber: Project #3  
Substrates:  
PartType: Coupon  
Contaminants:  
Cleaning Methods:  
Analytical Methods: Performance Test, Visual  
Purpose: To compare the foaming generation and foam stability of two Chemtrax formulations to baseline high efficiency laundry detergents.

Experimental Procedure:

- 1. Prepare solutions:** Create a 0.5% detergent solution by mixing detergent with distilled water. The cleaners tested include MS LLD, Arm & Hammer High Efficiency Laundry Detergent, and Tide High Efficiency Laundry Detergent
- 2. Fill test tubes:** Pour 1 ml of the solution into a test tube.
- 3. Add water:** Add 5 ml of distilled water to each test tube.
- 4. Shake:** Seal the test tube and shake it 10 times to create foam.
- 5. Measure foam height:** Measure the amount of foam generated in ml.
- 6. Time:** Record the time it takes for the foam to fully dissipate (5 minutes interval)

Results:

Chemtrax Option 1: 4.5ml of foam generated. The foam did not dissipate within 5 minutes.  
Chemtrax Option 2: 2ml of foam generated. The foam dissipated in 1 minute and 51 seconds.  
Arm and Hammer HE: 3ml of foam generated. Foam did not dissipate within 5 minutes.  
Tide HE: 2ml of foam generated. Foam did not dissipate within 5 minutes.

Summary:

Conclusion: The Chemtrax Option 2 formulation matched the amount of foam generated by the Tide HE detergent and exhibited less foam stability than the Tide HE detergent. The Chemtrax Option 2 formulation will likely be successful with use in a high efficiency washing machine.