

CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

	SCL #:	2002										
	DateRun:	04/01/2002										
	Experimenters:	Jason Mars	hall									
	ClientType:	Optical Manufacturer										
	ProjectNumber:	Project #1										
	Substrates:	Aluminum										
	PartType:	Coupon										
	Contaminants:	Waxes										
	Cleaning Methods:	Immersion	/Soak									
	Analytical Methods:	Gravimetric										
	Purpose:	To evaluate eight lab selected and two client provided cleaners for beeswax removal.										
	Experimental Procedure:	Eight Cleaners were selected from the lab's database of cleaning trials and vendor information based on client supplied data. Six aqueous based products were diluted to 5% using DI water in 600 ml beakers. The other two products were terpene based solutions and were used at full strength. In addition to the eight lab selected products, two additional products were supplied by the client to be evaluated. Both were terpene based and used at full strength. All ten products were heated to 110 F on a hot plate. Thirty preweighed coupons were coated with beeswax. The wax was applied by heating with a Master Appliance hot air gun and then wiped with a hand held swab. After the wax cooled, the coupons were then weighed again. Three coupons were cleaned in each solution for 5 minutes using stir-bar agitation. After cleaning, the coupons were rinsed in tap water for 15 seconds at 110 F and dried with the heat gun for 30 seconds at 300 F. Once the coupons cooled, final weights were recorded and cleaning efficiencies were calculated.										
	Results:	had the hig increase, a wax. Furthe	phest effi s did fou er cleanin ners in re Coupon 1 97.28 -3.72 0.00 2.70	iciency 9 Ir of the a ng time a emoving	8.74%. T aqueous or the ad the wax	he fourt cleaner dition of	h terpo s. The mech owing Std Dev 1.67 0.78 2.88 10.53 4.14	tive in removing the wax in the 5 minutes. Opti Clean ene, Uni Clear caused the weight of the wax to se solutions were beginning to be dissolved into the anical energy (ultrasonics or spray washing) may aid table lists the results for each coupon and cleaner.				
		Polyspray	-13.91	-4.18	-7.54	-8.54	4.94					
		Uni Clear	-0.75	-4.84	-7.71	-4.43	3.50					
		Histo Clear	97.33	97.30	99.28	97.97	1.13					
		-										

Summary:

Substrates: Aluminum

98.83

98.50

98.88

98.74 0.20

Opto Clear

Substrates:	Aluminum							
Contaminants:	Waxes							
Company Name	: Product Name:	Conc.:	Efficiency:	Effective:	Observations:			
Bio Chem Systems	Bio T 200 A	100	95.45	\checkmark				
Brulin Corporation	Aquavantage 1400	5	-3.73					
Gemtek Products	SC Aircraft & Metal Cleaner Super Concentrate	5	1.93					
International Product Corporation	^S Micro 90 Conc.	5	9.42					
Oakite Products	Inproclean 3800	5	-9.67					
Texo Corporation	Texolite 1734 XL	5	-10.84					
US Polychem Corporation	Polyspray Jet 790 P	5	-8.54					



CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

Universal Photonics	Uni Clear	100	-4.43		
National Diagnostic	Histo Clear	100	97.97	\checkmark	
National Diagnostic	Opti Clear	100	98.74	\checkmark	

Conclusion:

The five products that caused the weights to increase will be re-evaluated using ultrasonics to determine if efficiencies can be improved.