

CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2014
 DateRun: 04/08/2014
 Experimenters: Junhee Cho, Loc Nguyen
 ClientType: Cleaner Manufacturer
 ProjectNumber: Project #2
 Substrates: Glass/Quartz, Chrome
 PartType: Coupon
 Contaminants: Films, Soaps
 Cleaning Methods: Manual Wipe
 Analytical Methods: Gravimetric, Visual

Purpose: The purpose of this test is to check whether the supplied cleaners are effective in removing SSL Soil 2 (Glass soap scum) from the surface of the Glass, Chrome and Mirror coupons.

Experimental Procedure: Supplied products were used in full concentration (RTU Product). Pre-weighed Glass, Chrome, and Mirror coupons were coated with SSL Soil 2 (Glass soap scum: Water 51.5%, Hair gel 25.6%, Toothpaste 10.4%, Shaving cream 5.3%, Hair spray 3.7% and Spray deodorant 3.5%) using a handheld swab and allowed to dry for 24 hours at room temperature. The SSL Soil2 was soiled in the surface of the coupons. Coupons were left for 3-4 hours to dry. The contaminated coupons were weighed again to determine the amount of soil added.

Three coupons were placed in a Gardner Straight Line Washability unit. A Kimberly-Clark Wypal reinforced paper towel was attached to the cleaning sled and soaked with 4-6 sprays of cleaning solutions. Each coupon was sprayed 1-2 times with the same cleaning solution. The cleaning unit was run for 5 cycles (~10seconds). At the end of the cleaning, bottom part of the coupons was wiped once with a dry paper towel. After cleaning, cleaned coupons were left for 5 -6 hours to dry; possible residual cleaners on coupons from cleaning process were controlled. Final weights were recorded, efficiencies were calculated and recorded.

Visual observations were made on the coupons for spotting and filming following the general guidelines set forth in the CSPA DCC 09A. Filming is best recognized as "haziness" or overall "miliness", while streaking is best identified as dried droplets or "spotting", usually found strung together into thin white lines. Each coupon was evaluated separately for filming and streaking, (i.e., product residues without added soil), according to a scale of "1" to "7" where:

Filming Streaking

7 = high filming 7 = high streaking poor (performance)

1 = no visible filming 1 = no visible streaking (excellent performance)

Results: All four supplied products removed over 85% of the glass soap scum using manual cleaning. Both Replenish glass cleaner reached overall 90% removal efficacy; both products were more effective on mirror and chrome than glass surface. In visual analysis, the filming and spotting levels on glass surface from the Replenish Glass TN-1300-87Dct was below the acceptable level from Green Seal. But the overall visual analysis passed the Green Seal standard. The table lists the amount of soil added, the amount remaining and the efficiency for each coupon cleaned.

The table lists the amount of soil added, the amount remaining after cleaning and the calculated efficiency for soil used.

| Cleaner | Initial wt | Final wt | % Removed |
|--------------------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|
| Replenish Glass TN-1300-87D - Mirror | | | |
| | 0.0580 | 0.0067 | 88.45 |
| | 0.0675 | 0.0075 | 88.89 |
| | 0.0861 | 0.0065 | 92.45 |
| Replenish Glass TN-1300-87D - Chrome | | | |
| | 0.1448 | 0.0068 | 95.30 |
| | 0.1223 | 0.0065 | 94.69 |
| | 0.0942 | 0.0068 | 92.78 |
| Replenish Glass TN-1300-87D - Glass | | | |
| | 0.1070 | 0.0134 | 87.48 |
| | 0.0739 | 0.0086 | 88.36 |
| | 0.1144 | 0.0074 | 93.53 |

CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|-------|
| Replenish Glass TN-1300-88D - Mirror | | | |
| | 0.1264 | 0.0169 | 86.63 |
| | 0.1626 | 0.0104 | 93.60 |
| | 0.0767 | 0.0077 | 89.96 |
| Replenish Glass TN-1300-88D - Chrome | | | |
| | 0.2182 | 0.0154 | 92.94 |
| | 0.4937 | 0.0101 | 97.95 |
| | 0.4950 | 0.0114 | 97.70 |
| Replenish Glass TN-1300-88D - Glass | | | |
| | 0.2783 | 0.0396 | 85.77 |
| | 0.1824 | 0.0158 | 91.34 |
| | 0.2148 | 0.0321 | 85.06 |
| 409 Glass and Surface - Mirror | | | |
| | 0.3076 | 0.0145 | 95.29 |
| | 0.2792 | 0.0106 | 96.20 |
| | 0.1475 | 0.0097 | 93.42 |
| 409 Glass and Surface - Chrome | | | |
| | 0.8469 | 0.0101 | 98.81 |
| | 0.7217 | 0.0183 | 97.46 |
| | 0.4533 | 0.0152 | 96.65 |
| 409 Glass and Surface - Glass | | | |
| | 0.0824 | 0.0044 | 94.66 |
| | 0.0954 | 0.0079 | 91.72 |
| | 0.0950 | 0.0022 | 97.68 |
| 7th Gen Glass and Surface - Mirror | | | |
| | 0.0245 | 0.0068 | 72.24 |
| | 0.0297 | 0.0037 | 87.54 |
| | 0.0349 | 0.0053 | 84.81 |
| 7th Gen Glass and Surface - Chrome | | | |
| | 0.0528 | 0.0088 | 83.33 |
| | 0.0603 | 0.0048 | 92.04 |
| | 0.0921 | 0.0055 | 94.03 |
| 7th Gen Glass and Surface - Glass | | | |
| | 0.0542 | 0.0043 | 92.07 |
| | 0.1321 | 0.0046 | 96.52 |
| | 0.0949 | 0.0068 | 92.83 |

Visual Ratings

| Product | Substrate | Tester 1 | | Tester 2 | | Average Filming | Average Streaking |
|------------------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | | Filming Visual Observation | Streaking Visual Observation | Filming Visual Observation | Streaking Visual Observation | | |
| Replenish Glass TN-1300-87D | Glass | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3.67 | 3.17 |
| | | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | | |
| | | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | | |
| | Mirror | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2.33 | 2.33 |
| | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | | |
| Replenish Glass TN-1300-88D | Glass | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2.67 | 3.33 |
| | | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | | |
| | | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
| | Mirror | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2.00 | 2.17 |
| | | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | | |
| | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | | |
| 409 Glass and Surface | Glass | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3.33 | 3.17 |
| | | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | | |

CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|---|---|---|---|------|------|
| 7th Gen Glass and Surface | Mirror | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | | |
| | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.33 | 2.50 |
| | | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | |
| | Glass | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 2.33 | 2.50 |
| | | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | |
| | | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3.50 | 3.67 |
| | Mirror | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | | |
| | | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | | |

Summary:

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Substrates: | Glass/Quartz, Chrome | | | | | |
| Contaminants: | Films, Soaps | | | | | |
| Company Name: | Product Name: | Conc.: | Efficiency: | Effective: | Observations: | |
| Vi-Jon | Replenish Glass TN-1300-87D | 100 | 91.33 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | |
| Vi-Jon | Replenish Glass TN-1300-88D | 100 | 91.22 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | |
| Clorox Company | Formula 409 All Purpose Cleaner | 100 | 95.77 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | |
| Seventh Generation | Free & Clear All Purpose | 100 | 88.38 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | | |

Conclusion:

Both the supplied cleaners 87 DD and 88 DD were effective in cleaning the SSL Soil2 from the surface of the glass, mirror and chrome. Streaking and filming levels were both better than the recommended guideline of 3. Each compared closely with the two industry comparative products.