

# CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

SCL #: 2005  
DateRun: 06/28/2005  
Experimenters: Jason Marshall  
ClientType: Environmental Service Firm  
ProjectNumber: Project #1  
Substrates: Wood  
PartType: Coupon  
Contaminants: Coatings  
Cleaning Methods:  
Analytical Methods: Performance Test  
Purpose: To evaluate rolling load resistance for additional floor finishes.

**Experimental Procedure:** Control of Moisture Content and Temperature  
The moisture content at the time of testing will influence results due to the hygroscopic nature of the base materials. Therefore, efforts must be taken to ensure that the moisture content and temperature remain constant during the evaluation period. Ideally, the sample floor should be kept at 65+/-1% relative humidity and 68+/-6 F.  
During laboratory testing, conditions were slightly drier, 40% relative humidity, but the temperature was within the given temperature range ~70 F).  
**Sample Preparation**  
The flooring material supplied was Hardwood flooring made from Red Oak. The boards were 3/4" thick, 2 1/4" wide and cut into 8" sections. Some pieces of the flooring had to be sanded prior to making initial thickness readings to remove residual packing tape adhesive. With the boards cut into 8" coupons, three readings were made using a Brown & Sharpe Micrometer to measure each coupon's initial board thickness. Each reading was made to 0.001" and the three values were averaged to give a baseline thickness for the coupons. In addition to the thickness baseline, baselines were established for Gloss, Coefficient of Friction, Impact, Small Area Loads. Procedures for each baseline measurement followed the procedures to be outlined.  
Following the establishment of the baselines, three coupons were coated with a supplied floor finish according to the manufacturers' specifications. The finish was applied using a 1" Pure Bristle 1500 paint brush. To ensure consistent coating application, the finish was leveled off using a 10 mils Precision Gage & Tool Co Dow Film Caster. Three coats were used for each floor finish as this was common number of coating layers suggested by the various manufacturers. Each coating layer was allowed to dry for 2 hours prior to the application of the next coat. Completed coupons were allowed to sit for a minimum period of 24 hours before performance evaluations were conducted.  
**Rolling Load**  
Measurements made during the rolling load will reveal damage to the coupon surface from repeated rolling forces, simulating heavy castored loads such as beds, desks and appliances. Coupons were placed into a holding device and clamped to restrict movement of the coupon. A load sled was constructed using a wood plank and three castor wheels. The round, hard wheels were 2" in diameter and 1" wide. The sled was loaded with 200 pounds. Figure 3 shows the sled passing over the surface of the finished coupon.

Figure 3. Rolling Load Apparatus

Ten passes (5 cycles) were completed and the three measurements were made along the path of the sled wheel. An additional 15 passes were made with three more measurements made. Following the 25 passes, another 25 passes were made with the deformation measurements. The averages for the three sets of passes were calculated. Any notable surface changes were recorded. The results for each floor finish were compared to the other finishes.

**Results:** Rolling Load Resistance

1-Hydro 202 Satin
6-SafeCoat Satin
7-SafeCoat Gloss

## Deformation Measurements

		Initial Readings				Final readings			
134	107.500	7.530	7.521	7.517	7.433	7.399	7.471	7.434	
	25				7.403	7.386	7.320	7.370	
	50				7.334	7.323	7.310	7.322	
35	107.574	7.572	7.559	7.568	7.532	7.556	7.542	7.543	

## CLEANING LABORATORY EVALUATION SUMMARY

	25					7.528	7.515	7.525	7.523
	50					7.518	7.500	7.511	7.510
36	10	7.555	7.554	7.581	7.563	7.483	7.513	7.518	7.505
	25					7.463	7.452	7.507	7.474
	50					7.424	7.444	7.455	7.441
6 8	10	7.460	7.479	7.480	7.473	7.402	7.440	7.455	7.432
	25					7.379	7.397	7.419	7.398
	50					7.366	7.354	7.418	7.379
9	10	7.586	7.587	7.569	7.581	7.467	7.524	7.540	7.510
	25					7.439	7.487	7.531	7.486
	50					7.430	7.485	7.517	7.477
10	10	7.516	7.540	7.535	7.530	7.441	7.403	7.434	7.426
	25					7.377	7.356	7.355	7.363
	50					7.324	7.357	7.346	7.342
7 17	10	7.490	7.524	7.486	7.500	7.413	7.435	7.417	7.422
	25					7.402	7.433	7.364	7.400
	50					7.292	7.317	7.316	7.308
18	10	7.480	7.481	7.501	7.487	7.434	7.465	7.392	7.430
	25					7.338	7.385	7.380	7.368
	50					7.360	7.382	7.309	7.350

### Summary

Floor Coating	10	25	50	Total Depression Depth	Trial Rank	Overall Rank
Hydro 202 Satin	0.023	0.039	0.031	0.093	2	5
SafeCoat Satin	0.017	0.041	0.016	0.073	1	2
SafeCoat Gloss	0.074	0.042	0.054	0.171	3	7

### Overall Comparison Rank

Polyurethane Gloss 3  
WB Polyurethane 4  
WB Sanding Sealer 1  
Aqua Deva Metro 6  
Hydro 202 Satin 5  
SafeCoat Satin 2  
SafeCoat Gloss 7

Summary:

Conclusion:

Pro Finishers Water Based Polyurethane had the most resistance to rolling load, followed by American Formulating and Manufacturing SafeCoat BP Satin and Capitol Polyurethane Gloss.